

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,944	06/29/2006	Robert Lee Maziasz	SC12656TS	2642
34814 7590 10/14/2009 LARSON NEWMAN & ABEL, LLP 5914 WEST COURTYARD DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			SANDOVAL, PATRICK	
SUITE 200 AUSTIN, TX 78	8730		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2825	
			MAIL DATE 10/14/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/596,944 MAZIASZ ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit PATRICK SANDOVAL 2825 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE filed 7/28/2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 36-70 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 36-70 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) T Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

 Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action responds to Applicant's RCE filed on 7/28/2009. Claims 1-7,
9-15 and 18-35 have been cancelled, and claims 36-70 have been added. Claims 36-70 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/28/2009 has been entered.

Flection/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Group 1, claim(s) 36-47, drawn to the invention with selecting at the computer device a first portion of a first transistor of the circuit layout in response to determining the first portion extends outward in the first direction from a first logical device of the circuit layout, the first logical device comprising the first transistor.
- II. Group 2, claim(s) 48-59, drawn to the invention with selecting at the computer device a first portion of a first transistor of the circuit layout in response to determining the first portion <u>is at an edge</u> of a first logical device of the circuit layout, the first logical device comprising the first transistor.

Art Unit: 2825

III. Group 3, claim(s) 60-70, drawn to the invention with selecting at the computer device a first portion of a first transistor of the circuit layout *in response to determining* the first portion <u>is not at an edge</u> of a first logical device of the circuit layout, the first logical device comprising the first transistor.

- 4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.
- 5. The species are independent or distinct because as disclosed the different species have mutually exclusive characteristics for each identified species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.
- 6. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
- (a) The prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (b) The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (c) The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

Art Unit: 2825

(d) The inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

- (e) The inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 8. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.
- If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2825

10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the

case. In either instance, if the examiner linds one of the species unpatentable over the

prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

of the other species.

11. Applicant is advised that cancellation of non-elected claims is required.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Sandoval whose telephone number is 571-272-7973. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Paul Dinh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2825

/Patrick Sandoval/ Examiner, Art Unit 2825